UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/698,197 | 10/31/2003 | Pradipta Kumar Banerjee | JP920030162US1 | 9974 |
| 39903 7590 05/12/2009 IBM ENDICOTT (ANTHONY ENGLAND) LAW OFFICE OF ANTHONY ENGLAND | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | OSMAN, RAMY M | |
| PO Box 5307 AUSTIN, TX 78763-5307 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2457 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/12/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence | 10/698,197 | BANERJEE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | RAMY M. OSMAN | 2457 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ja</u> | nuarv 2009. | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| · | 4 100 441 / 11 11 | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9-11,13-16,19-23,25-28,31,33,34 and 36-44</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| · — · · · — · · · — · · · · · · · · · · | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9-11,13-16,19-23,25-28,31,33,34 and 36-44</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| ·— | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| гарет подългиан Date 0) [] Other | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/698,197 Page 2

Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. This action is responsive to amendment filed on January 21, 2009. Claims 1-4,7,9-11,13-16,19-23,25-28,31,33,34 and 36-44 remain pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/21/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Applicant argues that Yadav fails to teach the "scanning of packets that have been processed by the transport layer and are on their way to a particular application queue receive" as mentioned in bottom of pg 11 of remarks.
- 4. *In reply*, Applicant is reminded that the claim language is broad and is thus given its broadest reasonable interpretation. Since the claim only passingly and broadly mentions a "communication to an application receive queue" then this is treated broadly since the claim fails to limit whether this communication is destined to, belongs to, coming from, etc. in regards to the queue. There is also nothing in the claim that limits the communication to being processed by a transport layer. Thus, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,197 Page 3

Art Unit: 2457

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4,7,9-11,13-16,19-23,25-28,31,33,34 and 36-44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yadav (US Patent No 7174566) in view of Holland (US Patent No 6,851,061).
- 7. For a listing of the detailed rejection see Office Action dated 10/17/2008.
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,197 Page 4

Art Unit: 2457

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMY M. OSMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

May 8, 2009

/Ramy M Osman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457